EXHIBIT A



Service of Process Transmittal

03/04/2020

CT Log Number 537321320

TO: Jennifer Lauro

The Hanover Insurance Group N-430, 440 Lincoln St Worcester, MA 01653-0002

RE: **Process Served in New York**

FOR: The Hanover Insurance Company (Domestic State: NH)

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE OF ACTION: ARIZONA BEVERAGES USA LLC, PLTF. vs. HANOVER INSURANCE COMPANY, DFT.

Name discrepancy noted.

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED: SUMMONS, COMPLAINT(S)

Nassau County: Supreme Court, NY Case # 6149712019 **COURT/AGENCY:**

NATURE OF ACTION: Insurance Litigation

ON WHOM PROCESS WAS SERVED: C T Corporation System, New York, NY

DATE AND HOUR OF SERVICE: By Courier on 03/04/2020

JURISDICTION SERVED: New York

APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE: Within 20 days: after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service

ATTORNEY(S) / SENDER(S): JONATHAN C. LERNER

LERNER, ARNOLD & WINSTON, LP 475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH, 28th FLOOR

NEW YORK, NY 10016

212-686-4655

ACTION ITEMS: CT has retained the current log, Retain Date: 03/04/2020, Expected Purge Date:

03/09/2020

Image SOP

Email Notification, Service of Process ogclitmail@hanover.com

SIGNED: C T Corporation System **ADDRESS:** 155 Federal St Ste 700

Boston, MA 02110-1727

800-448-5350 For Questions:

MajorAccountTeam1@wolterskluwer.com

Page 1 of 1 / SV

Information displayed on this transmittal is for CT Corporation's record keeping purposes only and is provided to the recipient for quick reference. This information does not constitute a legal opinion as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any information contained in the documents themselves. Recipient is responsible for interpreting said documents and for taking appropriate action. Signatures on certified mail receipts confirm receipt of package only, not contents.



(000) 000-0000 TO:

CT CORPORATION SYSTEMS 28 LIBERTY STREET NEW YORK NY 10005



UPS GROUND TRACKING #: 12 121 346 03 1000 2405



3ILLING: P/P

CWU 13.68 Z2844Z 83.6V 12/2019



NEW YORK STATE
DEPARTMENT of
FINANCIAL SERVICES
ONE COMMERCE PLAZA
ALBANY, NY 12257

Mara Velasco
Hanover Insurance Company
c/o CT Corporation System 28 Liberty Street
New York, New York 10005



Department of Financial Services

ANDREW M. CUOMO Governor

LINDA A. LACEWELL Superintendent

STATE	()	$N \vdash W$	YORK

Supreme Court, County of NASSAU

614971/2019

ARIZONA BEVERAGES USA LLC,

Plaintiff(s)

against

Defendant(s)

Hanover Insurance Company

RE: Hanover Insurance Company formerly known as Hanover Insurance Company, Inc.

Attorney for Plaintiff(s) and Defendant(s) please take notice as follows:

Attorney for Plaintiff(s) is hereby advised of acknowledgement of service upon this Department Notice of Petition in the above entitled action on February 25, 2020 at New York, New York. The \$ 40.00 fee is also acknowledged.

Original to Attorney for Plaintiff(s):

LERNER, ARNOLD & WINSTON, LLP 475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH 28TH FLOOR NEW YORK, New York 10016

Persuant to the requirement of section 1212 of the Insurance Law, Defendant(s) is hereby notified of service as effected above. A copy of the paper is enclosed.

Duplicate to Defendant:

Mara Velasco Hanover Insurance Company c/o CT Corporation System 28 Liberty Street New York, New York 10005

Ellen R Buxbaum

Special Deputy Superintendent

Dated Albany, New York, March 02, 2020 633268

NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 10/28/20149

Page 6 of 15 PageID:#: INDEX NO. 614971/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/28/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NASSAU

NYSCEF DOC: NO. 1

----X Index No.:

Date purchased: Plaintiff designates

NASSAU

Plaintiff,

County as the place of trial-

-against-

ARIZONA BEVERAGES USA LLC,

SUMMONS

HANOVER INSURANCE COMPANY

Defendant.

The basis of venue designated is: Plaintiff's Principal Place of Business

To the above named Defendant:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Plaintiff's Attorney(s) within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: New York, New York October 28, 2019

> LERNER, ARNOLD & WINSTON, LP Attorneys for

Ву

Johnathan C. Lerner

Park Avenue South, 28th Floor

New York, New York 10016

212-686-4655

Defendant's Address:

HANOVER INSURANCE COMPANY, c/o Superintendent of Insurance

:NER · ARNOLD · WINSTON

1 of 6

FILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 10/28/2019 04:50 PM

NYSCEF DOC! NO. 1

Page 7 of 15 PageID #: INDEX NO. 614971/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/28/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NASSAU
X
ADIZONIA DEVIEDACESTISATIC

Index No.:

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

VS.

HANOVER INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

The plaintiff, ARIZONA BEVERAGES USA, LLC, by its attorneys, LERNER, ARNOLD & WINSTON, LLP, as and for its Complaint, allege upon information and belief as follows:

- At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff ARIZONA BEVERAGES USA LLC 1. (hereinafter "plaintiff"), was and still is a domestic limited liability company, organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business located in the State of New York, County of Nassau.
- At all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant HANOVER INSURANCE 2. COMPANY (hereinafter "defendant") was and still is a foreign corporation, organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New Hampshire, with its principal place of business located in the State of Massachusetts.
- 3. At all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court.



Case 2:20-cv-01537-GRB-LGD Filed 03/25/20 Document 1-2

NASSAU COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC: NO. 1

Page 8 of 15 PageID #:

INDEX NO. 614971/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/28/2019

4. At all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant was authorized by the New York Superintendent of Insurance to issue policies of insurance within the State of New York, including the policy of insurance issued to the Plaintiff herein.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Breach of Contract – Extra Expense)

- 5. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in preceding paragraphs numbered "1" through "4", inclusive, with the same force and effect as though more fully set forth herein at length.
- 6. Prior to October 29, 2017, for good and valuable consideration, Defendant issued to Plaintiff a policy of insurance bearing number RHY 9560593 05 (hereinafter referred to as "the Policy") with effective dates of coverage from May 31, 2017 to May 31, 2018, which policy insured Plaintiff against any extra expenses it incurred as the result of a loss sustained by virtue of an Equipment Breakdown to Covered Property.
- 7. On or about October 29, 2017, while the aforementioned policy was in full force and effect, Plaintiff sustained an Equipment Breakdown loss to Covered Property.
- As a direct consequence of the Equipment Breakdown, Plaintiff incurred extra 8. expenses that are covered under the Policy.
- 9. Subsequent to October 29, 2017, Plaintiff submitted a claim to defendant seeking to be indemnified for the extra expenses incurred by virtue of the Equipment Breakdown to Covered Property.



Case 2:20-cv-01537-GRB-LGD Filed 03/25/20 Document 1-2

NASSAU COUNTY

NYSCEF DOC'. NO. 1

Page 9 of 15 PageID #: INDEX NO. 614971/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/28/2019

10. Notwithstanding the fact that the October 29, 2017 Equipment Breakdown loss to Covered Property was covered under the Policy, and despite the fact that plaintiffs have complied with all conditions precedent to coverage under the terms and conditions of the policy of insurance, Defendant has failed and refused to indemnify Plaintiff for the Extra-Expenses incurred as a direct consequence of the Equipment Breakdown, despite the fact that same has been duly demanded.

- Defendant's failure to indemnify Plaintiff for the Extra Expenses incurred by virtue 11. of the Equipment Breakdown to Covered Property resulting from the October 29, 2017 loss constitutes a breach of contract.
- 12. As a result of defendant's breach of contract, Plaintiff has been damaged in a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of all lower Courts, with the precise amount to be determined at the trial of this action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment on its First Cause of Action against defendant for breach of contract in a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of all lower Courts, with the precise amount to be determined at the trial of this action, with interest thereon from October 29,

NER · ARNOLD · WINSTON

3

Case 2:20-cv-01537-GRB-LGD Document 1-2 Filed 03/25/20 Page 10 of 15 PageID

FILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 10/28/2019 04:50 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

Page 10 of 15 PageID

INDEX NO. 614971/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/28/2019

2017, together with the costs and disbursements of this action, including reasonable attorney's fees.

Dated: New York, New York
October 28, 2019

Yours, etc.

LERNER, ARNOLD & WINSTON, LLP

Johnathan C. Lerner

475 Park Avenue South, 28th Floor New York, New York 10016

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

(212) 686-4655

NER-ARNOLD-WINSTON

Case 2:20-cv-01537-GRB-LGD Document 1-2 Filed 03/25/20

FILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 10/28/2019 04:50 PM

NYSCEF DOC: NO. 1

Page 11 of 15 PageID

INDEX NO. 614971/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/28/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NASSAU	
X	Index No.:
ARIZONA BEVERAGES USA LLC,	Date purchased:
	Plaintiff designates
	NASSAU
Plaintiff,	-County as the place of trial
-against-	SUMMONS
-	The basis of venue designated is:
HANOVER INSURANCE COMPANY,	Plaintiff's Principal
· ·	Place of Business
Defendant.	

To the above named Defendant:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Plaintiff's Attorney(s) within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: New York, New York October 28, 2019

LERNER, ARNOLD & WINSTON, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Johnathan C. Lerner 475 Park Avenue South, 28th Floor New York, New York 10016

New York, New York 10016

212-686-4655

Defendant's Address:

HANOVER INSURANCE COMPANY, c/o Superintendent of Insurance

INER-ARNOLD-WINSTON

1 of 6

Case 2:20-cv-01537-GRB-LGD Document 1-2 Filed 03/25/20 Page 12 of 15 PageID

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 10/28/2019 04:50 PM

INDEX NO. 614971/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/28/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NASSAU

ARIZONA BEVERAGES USA LLC,

Index No.:

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

VS.

HANOVER INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

The plaintiff, ARIZONA BEVERAGES USA, LLC, by its attorneys, LERNER, ARNOLD & WINSTON, LLP, as and for its Complaint, allege upon information and belief as follows:

- 1. At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff ARIZONA BEVERAGES USA LLC (hereinafter "plaintiff"), was and still is a domestic limited liability company, organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business located in the State of New York, County of Nassau.
- At all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant HANOVER INSURANCE 2. COMPANY (hereinafter "defendant") was and still is a foreign corporation, organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New Hampshire, with its principal place of business located in the State of Massachusetts.
- 3. At all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court.

INER · ARNOLD · WINSTON

COUNTY CLERK 10/28/2019 04:50

Page 13 of 15 PageID INDEX NO. 614971/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/28/2019 ·

4. At all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant was authorized by the New York Superintendent of Insurance to issue policies of insurance within the State of New York, including the policy of insurance issued to the Plaintiff herein.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Breach of Contract - Extra Expense)

- 5. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation set forth in preceding paragraphs numbered "1" through "4", inclusive, with the same force and effect as though more fully set forth herein at length.
- 6. Prior to October 29, 2017, for good and valuable consideration, Defendant issued to Plaintiff a policy of insurance bearing number RHY 9560593 05 (hereinafter referred to as "the Policy") with effective dates of coverage from May 31, 2017 to May 31, 2018, which policy insured Plaintiff against any extra expenses it incurred as the result of a loss sustained by virtue of an Equipment Breakdown to Covered Property.
- 7. On or about October 29, 2017, while the aforementioned policy was in full force and effect, Plaintiff sustained an Equipment Breakdown loss to Covered Property.
- 8. As a direct consequence of the Equipment Breakdown, Plaintiff incurred extra expenses that are covered under the Policy.
- 9. Subsequent to October 29, 2017, Plaintiff submitted a claim to defendant seeking to be indemnified for the extra expenses incurred by virtue of the Equipment Breakdown to Covered Property.



NYSCEF DOG. NO

NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 10/28/2019 04:50 Page 14 of 15 PageID

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/28/2019

NYSCEF DOG. NO. 1

Notwithstanding the fact that the October 29, 2017 Equipment Breakdown loss to 10. Covered Property was covered under the Policy, and despite the fact that plaintiffs have complied with all conditions precedent to coverage under the terms and conditions of the policy of insurance, Defendant has failed and refused to indemnify Plaintiff for the Extra Expenses incurred as a direct consequence of the Equipment Breakdown, despite the fact that same has been duly demanded.

- 11. Defendant's failure to indemnify Plaintiff for the Extra Expenses incurred by virtue of the Equipment Breakdown to Covered Property resulting from the October 29, 2017 loss constitutes a breach of contract.
- 12. As a result of defendant's breach of contract, Plaintiff has been damaged in a sum. in excess of the jurisdictional limits of all lower Courts, with the precise amount to be determined at the trial of this action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment on its First Cause of Action against defendant for breach of contract in a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of all lower Courts, with the precise amount to be determined at the trial of this action, with interest thereon from October 29,



3

Case 2:20-cv-01537-GRB-LGD Document 1-2 Filed 03/25/20 Page 15 of 15 PageID INDEX NO. 614971/2019 FILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 10/28/2019 04:50 PM RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/28/2019 NYSCEF DOG. NO. 1 2017, together with the costs and disbursements of this action, including reasonable attorney's fees. Dated: New York, New York October 28, 2019 Yours, etc. LERNERARNOLD & WINSTON, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs Johnathan C. Lerner 475 Park Avenue South, 28th Floor New York, New York 10016 (212) 686-4655 NER ARNOLD WINSTON

5 of 6